

United States Patent and Trademark Office

AS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,759	04/20/2001	Filippo Pironti	1085-2	1279	
23869	7590 05/02/2002				
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			EXAMINER		
6900 JERIC SYOSSET, 1	HO TURNPIKE NY 11791	NGUYEN, TAM M			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764	3	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_				A-S-3
1		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/839,7	759	PIRONTI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit	
		Tam M. I	Nguyen	1764	
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
THE No after after If No Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enterprise in the state of 37 days, a reply within the state of the st	event, however, may a satutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MO polication to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of the second sec	ely. communication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) to				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action		-Mara prepagnitian as to t	ha marite ie
3) 🗌 Disposit	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praion of Claims	on for allowance exce ctice under <i>Ex parte</i>	ept for formal manager Quayle, 1935 C	ntters, prosecution as to the .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	He memo is
-	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the	e application.			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/		consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or electior	requirement.		
	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by t				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are				
	Applicant may not request that any o	objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction file			disapproved by the Exam	iner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are		Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.			
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	im for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of	f:			
	1. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have b	een received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priori	ity documents have b	een received in	Application No	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interest See the attached detailed Office ac	ernational Bureau (P¢	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	al Stage
	Acknowledgment is made of a clain				nal application).
	a) The translation of the foreign Acknowledgment is made of a clair	language provisional	l application has	been received.	
Attachme					
1) M Not	cice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449	v (PTO-948) 9) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	4)	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper of Informal Patent Application (No(s) PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/839,759

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-5, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shu et al. (6,125,653).

Shu discloses a process for producing liquefied natural gas from a gas mixture comprising methane, ethane, and propane. The process includes steps of cooling the gas mixture which is then distilled in a demethanizer column to produce a methane-rich stream and an ethane/propane-rich stream. The methane rich stream is then compressed, cooled at a first temperature and pressure, and expanded by turbo expanders to provide a methane-cooling source for a cryogenic heat exchanger. After the expanding step, the methane rich stream has a second temperature and pressure that are lower than the first temperature and pressure. The ethane/propane rich stream is then passed into a de-ethanizer column to distill ethane from propane. (See entire document)

Application/Control Number: 09/839,759

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8-11, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shu et al. (6,125,653).

Shu does not specifically disclose the percentage of purity of methane, ethane, and propane in recovery streams. However, the Shu process is similar to the claimed process in

Application/Control Number: 09/839,759

Art Unit: 1764

terms of distilling, cooling, pressuring, and expanding. Therefore, the product streams of Shu would have the claimed percentage.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Shu by operating the process under conditions to produced a stream of methane, ethane, and propane with the purity as claimed because one of ordinary skill in the art would determine to control and operate the distillation columns at effective conditions to arrive at the claimed purity if the claimed purity of methane, ethane, and propane is desirable.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shu et al. (6,125,653).

The process of Shu as disclosed above does not specifically disclose that the gas mixture contains 40-80 % or 50-75% by mole of methane, 10-40% or 15-40% by mole of ethane, and 0.5-10% or 1-4 % by mole of propane. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Shu process by using a feed gas having the claimed composition because Shu discloses that the feed gas for the process may comprise any gaseous mixture of hydrocarbons containing at least some methane. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would employ any gas mixture including the claimed gas feed in the process of Shu and it would be expected that the results would be the same or similar when using the claimed feed gas in the process of Shu. (See col. 2, lines 22-25)

Art Unit: 1764

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7715.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marian Knode can be reached on 703 308 4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5408 for regular communications and (703) 305-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764

Tam Nguyen/ TN April 29, 2002

Walter D. Griffin
Primary Examiner

Malta D. Dell_